Tibial Eminence Fractures

Christian N. Anderson, MD^a, Allen F. Anderson, MD^{b,*}

KEYWORDS

• Tibial spine • Tibial eminence • ACL avulsion • Pediatric

Tibial eminence fracture, a bony avulsion of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) from its insertion on the intercondylar eminence,¹ was first described by Poncet in 1875.² Also known as tibial spine fractures, these injuries occur most commonly in skeletally immature patients between the ages of 8 and 14 years.³ They account for 2% to 5% of knee injuries in the pediatric population^{4,5} and 14% of ACL injuries,⁶ and have an incidence of 3 per 100,000 children per year.⁷ Although tibial eminence fractures are relatively rare, pediatric knee injuries, in general, are increasing in frequency secondary to increased competitive sports participation,^{8,9} and present a public health problem because of the detrimental effects they can have on the health and well-being of young athletes.¹⁰ Given these concerns, appropriate treatment of tibial eminence fractures is paramount to the restoration of knee function, return-to-sports participation, and overall quality of life.

ANATOMY

The tibial intercondylar eminence is an elevated region of bone between the medial and lateral tibial condyles. It is anatomically divided into 4 distinct regions—a medial and lateral intercondylar spine and an anterior and posterior recess^{11,12}—and serves as an insertion point for the cruciate ligaments and menisci.^{12,13} The ACL is oriented obliquely, originating from the posteromedial side of the lateral femoral condyle, and inserting into a broad oval- or triangular-shaped region in the medial portion of the anterior recess.^{12–15} The anterior fibers of the ACL flatten out anteriorly and blend with the insertional fibers of the anterior horn of the medial meniscus, whereas the posterior ACL fibers insert into the base of the medial spine and blend with anterior insertion of the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus.^{13,14,16}

MECHANISM

In the pediatric population, tibial eminence fractures are most likely to occur while children are participating in various sports, eg, falling from a bike and skiing.^{17–19} The

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: andersonaf@toa.com

Clin Sports Med xx (2011) xxx doi:10.1016/j.csm.2011.06.007 0278-5919/11/\$ – see front matter © 2011 Published by Elsevier Inc.

sportsmed.theclinics.com

The authors have nothing to disclose.

^a Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 1215 21st Avenue South, Suite 4200, Medical Center East, South Tower, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

^b Tennessee Orthopaedic Alliance/The Lipscomb Clinic, Suite 1000 Street Thomas Medical Building, 4230 Harding Road, Nashville, TN 37205, USA

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS



Fig. 1. Classification system of tibial eminence fractures. Type I, nondisplaced or minimally displaced anterior margin; type II, the anterior 1/3 to 1/2 of the fragment is displaced; type IIIA, complete displacement of the fragment; type IIIB, complete displacement and cephalad rotation of the fragment; type IV, comminution of the fragment. (*Courtesy of* Delilah Cohn, MFA, CMI, Nashville, TN.)

mechanism of injury is similar to intrasubstance ACL tears—hyperextension of the knee with a valgus or rotational force.^{3,20–23} In a biomechanical study on primates, Noyes and colleagues¹ found that eminence fractures are more likely to occur at slower loading rates compared with intrasubstance ACL tears. In children, the weakness of their incompletely ossified tibial plateau relative to the ACL results in an avulsion fracture as tensile load is applied.^{3,23} Before bone failure, an in situ stretch injury of the ACL may occur¹ and may result in clinical laxity despite adequate reduction of the fracture fragment.^{24–26}

CLASSIFICATION

ACL avulsion fractures may vary considerably in the size of fragment, depth of the fracture into the tibial plateau, degree of displacement, and amount of comminution.²⁷ These injuries may also result in complete or incomplete ACL avulsions, with the majority of incomplete avulsions involving the anteromedial bundle.²⁷ The most commonly used classification system is based on 4 fracture patterns that vary in degrees of displacement and comminution (**Fig. 1**). Meyers and McKeever²⁸ defined types I to III. A type I fracture is the least severe, with a nondisplaced or minimally displaced anterior margin and excellent bony apposition. In a type II fracture, the anterior 1/3 to 1/2 of the fragment is displaced, with an intact posterior hinge. Type III fractures are classified in 2 subcategories. Type IIIA avulsion fractures have complete separation of the fragment from the bony bed without apposition, and type IIIB fractures are completely displaced and rotated cephalad. Zaricznyi²⁹ described type IV fractures, which represent comminution of the fragment.

DIAGNOSIS

A patient with a tibial eminence fracture typically presents with a painful knee hemarthrosis, decreased range of motion, and difficulty bearing weight.²⁴ Physical examination should include a complete neurologic and vascular examination of the lower extremity, as well as a thorough musculoskeletal examination of the knee.

Several studies have shown an association between eminence fractures and injury to the collateral ligaments, menisci, and articular cartilage.^{30–32} Surgeons should be cognizant of these associated injures and treat them appropriately when encountered.

Radiographic evaluation should include anteroposterior (AP) and lateral radiographs of the knee, the latter of which is particularly useful in determining the degree of displacement of the fracture fragment. Although radiographs are used to make the diagnosis, in skeletally immature patients the fracture fragments may be considerably larger than how they appear on radiograph, because a significant part of the fragment may be cartilaginous.²³ Computed tomographic scanning allows improved visualization of the fracture fragment compared with radiographs and provides a more precise assessment of the fracture and presence of comminution.²⁷ Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be helpful in preoperative planning by identifying concomitant injuries of the knee and the position of the fragment relative to the soft tissue structures that may impede reduction.^{19,33,34} MRI is also useful in determining if interstitial injury of the ACL is present.¹⁹

TREATMENT

Tibial eminence fractures vary significantly in regards to fracture type, associated intraarticular injury, entrapment of soft tissue within the fracture site, and extension of fracture into the tibial plateau.^{18,23,27,35} Treatment is based on these characteristics and tailored to each fracture pattern. Displaced tibial eminence fractures disrupt the continuity of the femur-ACL-tibial viscoelastic chain and can cause mechanical block to knee extension. The goals of treatment, therefore, are to restore continuity of the ACL and its stabilizing function, eliminate the mechanical block caused by the fragments, and restore congruity of the tibial plateau.^{27,35–37}

TYPE I FRACTURE

The general consensus among researchers is that type I fractures can be treated nonoperatively with long-leg cast immobilization.^{6,22,23,26,28,38-44} Aspiration of the knee hemarthrosis may be performed before casting to decrease swelling and pain. Although there is agreement on treatment with immobilization among researchers, opinions on knee position during treatment vary. McLennan⁴⁵ demonstrated in cadavers and in vivo that greatest tension of the ACL occurred at 0° and 45° of flexion, whereas the least tension was noted at 30° of flexion. Some researchers immobilize the knees in "slight flexion,"^{23, 41} whereas others recommend immobilization at specific knee flexion angles. Meyers and McKeever⁴⁶ and Willis and colleagues³⁸ recommended placing the knee in 20° of flexion, Beaty and Kumar⁴³ recommended 10° to 15° of flexion, and Fyfe and Jackson⁴² immobilized knees at 30° to 50° of flexion.

Although most investigators treat type I fractures with immobilization in flexion, others place the knee in full extension^{22,41} or hyperextension.²⁶ However, placing the knee in hyperextension may cause discomfort for the patient²³ and can theoretically increase the risk of compartment syndrome because of excessive tension on the popliteal artery.³ Even so, Wilfinger and coworkers¹⁹ treated 14 skeletally immature patients with type I fractures with aspiration and closed reduction in hyperextension for 3 weeks, followed by conversion to a cast with 10° to 15° of knee flexion. In this series, no compartment syndromes or complaints of discomfort were reported.

Healing occurs rapidly in skeletally immature patients, and most researchers have treated type I fractures with 4 to 6 weeks of immobilization.^{6,19,22,38} Radiographs

Δ

should be obtained immediately after casting to ensure maintenance of fracture reduction and weekly or bimonthly thereafter. The duration of immobilization depends on the patient's age, signs of radiographic union, and the patient's compliance and motivation. To prevent the stiffness associated with immobilization of tibial spine fractures, the shortest period of immobilization possible is recommended.^{23,35,40}

TYPE II FRACTURE

The treatment of type II fractures is more controversial than that of type I fractures; both operative and nonoperative treatments have been recommended. Nonoperative treatment typically involves cast immobilization for 4 to 6 weeks, with or without aspiration, and closed reduction of the knee in extension.^{19,41} Wiley and Baxter²³ showed that the fracture line can extend into the tibial plateau, which supports the use of closed reduction to allow the femoral condyles to hold the fragment reduced. However, in a cadaveric study, McLennan⁴⁵ showed that the footprint of the femoral condyle was not congruous with the fracture line at any point of flexion. Even so, some investigators have hypothesized that extension causes the fat pad to act as a space-occupying cushion that holds the fracture reduced regardless of its size.47 Meyers and McKeever,⁴⁶ in contrast, warned that closed reduction may convert a type II fracture to a type III fracture. If closed reduction is attempted, fluoroscopy should be used to confirm adequate position of the fragment, and the patient should be followed up closely to confirm maintenance of reduction in the cast. Kocher and colleagues¹⁸ were only successful in closed reduction in 26 of 49 patients with type Il fractures. Of those fractures that were irreducible, 26% were found to have soft tissue entrapment within the fracture preventing reduction.¹⁸ Senekovic and Veselko⁴⁸ found intermeniscal ligament entrapment in 5 of 8 type II fractures. If an acceptable fracture reduction cannot be achieved by closed manipulation or if concurrent intraarticular injuries are present, operative treatment is indicated.

TYPE III/IV FRACTURES

Closed reduction and immobilization can be attempted in type III or IV tibial spine fractures (Fig. 2A). This technique is less successful in maintaining fracture reduction because the fragment is completely displaced.^{39,41,45,49} The lower likelihood of success with closed reduction may be due, in part, to the higher incidence of soft tissue entrapment observed in this fracture pattern.^{18,48} Kocher and coworkers¹⁸ found 65% and Senekovic and Veselko⁴⁸ found 100% of type III fractures had intermeniscal ligament, anterior horn of medial meniscus, or anterior horn of the lateral meniscus incarcerated within the fracture. However, Lowe and colleagues⁴⁹ found no tissue interposition in 12 type III fractures, but they observed that extension of the knee caused displacement of the fracture fragment by pulling the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus that inserted on the osteochondral fragment. Given the difficulties associated with nonoperative management, most authors have recommended operative fixation for type III or IV fractures. Operative treatment regimens have included open reduction with casting,^{39,41} open reduction with internal fixation (ORIF),^{6,23,38,39} arthroscopic reduction with casting,^{38,46} and arthroscopic reduction and internal fixation (ARIF) with sutures,^{20,32,36,50-65} metal screws,^{22,25,31,48,66-68} bioabsorbable nails,⁶⁹ Kirschner wires,^{23,30,38,39} and, more recently, suture anchors.⁷⁰⁻⁷³

The best ARIF technique has not yet been determined because of the paucity of comparative studies in the literature. In a cohort study, Seon and coworkers³⁷ compared suture with screw fixation in type II and type III fractures and found no difference in functional outcomes. Biomechanical studies to determine the fixation



Fig. 2. (*A*) This lateral radiograph demonstrates a type IIIB tibial spine fracture in a 12-yearold male. (*B*) This arthroscopic view shows the type IIIB tibial spine fracture after the entrapped intermeniscal ligament was retracted with a 2-0 Prolene suture.

strength of various techniques have had mixed results.^{74–77} In a porcine model, Eggers and colleagues⁷⁵ demonstrated that FiberWire sutures (Arthrex, Naples, FL, USA) were superior to Ethibond sutures (Ethicon, Norderstedt, Germany) and 1 or 2 antegrade cannulated screws in both cyclic and single-cycle loading protocols. They found that the use of 2 cannulated screws weakens the bone fragment, resulting in earlier failure.⁷⁵ Bong and coworkers⁷⁷ also found that FiberWire sutures were significantly stronger than 1 cannulated screw in a single-cycle failure test. In a bovine model, Mahar and colleagues⁷⁴ found no difference between Ethibond suture, bioabsorbable nails, a single bioabsorbable screw, or a single metal screw in an ultimate failure test. In a cyclical loading test, Tsukada and coworkers⁷⁶ found a

5

statistically significant difference in displacement favoring an antegrade cannulated screw over Ethibond sutures.

Comminuted fractures should be treated with suture fixation, because screws are unlikely to provide adequate fixation.^{6,60} With screw fixation, the fracture fragment should be at least 3 times the size of the screw diameter⁶⁰ to prevent disruption of the fragment. However, a second surgery may be needed for hardware removal if the screw head is prominent.⁷⁸

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

In the recent literature, ARIF, rather than open surgery, has become the standard of care. A mini-arthrotomy for ORIF may still be necessary in fractures that are irreducible by arthroscopic means.³⁷ In skeletally immature patients, physeal sparing techniques should be used to prevent growth disturbance.⁷⁹ The following techniques are based on our previous work.⁸⁰

Setup

The operative extremity is placed in a circumferential leg holder with the hip flexed to 20° to allow lateral fluoroscopic imaging of the knee. A tourniquet is used to reduce bleeding and improve visualization. The C-arm is placed on the opposite side of the injured leg, and the tibial physis is visualized in the AP and lateral planes before the limb is prepped and draped.



Fig. 3. With the knee flexed, a superomedial portal is established by first inserting an 18-gauge spinal needle at the level of the mid to upper patella at an angle that is as perpendicular as possible to the tibial plateau. A portal is established at this location. After the fracture fragment is reduced, a 1.25-mm threaded A-0 guide wire is inserted into the fragment, the hole is drilled with C-arm visualization, and the appropriate 3.5-mm self-tapping cannulated cancellous screw is inserted.

6

7

Screw Fixation

Standard anterolateral and anteromedial portals are created and the knee is lavaged to remove the hemarthrosis. A systematic examination is performed and any meniscal pathology should be treated at this time. A shaver is then used to resect the ligamentum mucosum and enough of the infrapatellar fat pad to allow adequate visualization. The fracture fragment is elevated, and residual blood clot and debris are removed with a shaver or small curette (**Fig. 2B**). With the knee in 60° of flexion, a superomedial portal is established at the level of the mid to upper patella by first inserting an 18-gauge spinal needle as perpendicular as possible relative to the tibial plateau. Soft tissue incarcerated in the fracture crater can be retracted with a probe through this portal. If the tissue cannot be retracted with the probe, a suture may be placed through the anteromedial portal into the soft tissue and used to extract the soft tissue from the fracture. A probe or Freer elevator is used through the anteromedial



Fig. 4. (*A*) This arthroscopic view shows the fracture after fixation with 2 cannulated screws. (*B*, *C*) The corresponding radiograph demonstrates reduction of the fragment.



Fig. 5. An ACL drill guide, inserted through the anteromedial portal, is used to insert two 2.4-mm drill-tip guide pins that enter the joint at the lateral and medial edges of the fracture crater.

portal to reduce the fragment. A 1.25-mm thread-tip guide wire is inserted through the superomedial portal under real-time fluoroscopy into the anterior medial half of the fragment. The guide wire should be stopped before entering the tibial physis. Carefully insert the guide wire as perpendicular to the fracture as possible. A second



Fig. 6. A Spectrum suture passer is used to pass a 2-0 Prolene suture or shuttle relay through the posterior fibers of the ACL.

guide wire can be placed into the anterolateral half of the fragment to serve as provisional fixation while the screw is being inserted. A cannulated measuring device is placed over the guide wire, and a partially threaded, cannulated screw of appropriate length is selected. The wire is then overdrilled with a 2.7-mm cannulated drill bit under fluoroscopy, again avoiding the physis. The self-tapping 3.5-mm screw is then inserted. A second screw can be placed over the provisional guide wire if the fragment is large enough (**Fig. 3**). The knee is extended to determine if the screw head impinges on the femur (**Fig. 4**A, B, C). A small notchplasty may be performed if necessary to prevent impingement.

Suture Fixation

The initial preparation for arthroscopic suture fixation is similar to that for screw fixation. The fracture is reduced, and a thread-tip, 1.25-mm guide wire is inserted into the anterior central portion of the fragment for provisional fixation. For Tanner stage I, II, or III patients, the physis may be avoided by using a transepiphyseal rather than a transphyseal technique. Using C-arm visualization, an ACL drill guide is introduced through the anteromedial portal. Determine the entrance site of the pins on the anteromedial aspect of the tibia epiphysis by advancing the drill sleeve to the skin. A 2-cm to 3-cm incision is made in this location and the periosteum is elevated. The ACL drill guide and a 2.4-mm drill-tip guide wire are used to make 2 parallel tunnels 1 cm apart that enter at the medial and lateral edges of the fracture crater on either side of the ACL insertion (Fig. 5). The drill guide is removed, and a Spectrum suture passer (Conmed Linvatec, Largo, FL, USA) with a 90° tip is used to pass a 2-0 Prolene suture through the posterior fibers of the ACL as close to the bony fragment as possible (Fig. 6). Both ends of the suture are then retrieved with a grasper through a 5-mm cannula in the anteromedial portal. The suture is used to shuttle a #2 FiberWire (Arthrex) through the ACL (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7. A #2 FiberWire is shuttled through the ACL and pulled out the medial portal.



Fig. 8. The lateral guide pin is removed first and an 18-gauge spinal needle is placed in the drill hole. A CHIA suture passer is inserted through the spinal needle and is pulled out through the medial portal. The FiberWire suture limb on the medial side of the ACL is then loaded on the CHIA suture passer and pulled out through the lateral tibial drill hole.

The lateral pin is removed first and replaced with an 18-gauge spinal needle. A CHIA suture passer (Depuy Mitek, Raynham, MA, USA) is inserted through the spinal needle into the knee and retrieved through the cannula in the medial portal. The medial limb of the FiberWire suture is loaded on the CHIA passer and pulled through the lateral drill hole (Fig. 8). The lateral limb of the FiberWire suture is passed through the medial drill hole in a similar manner, creating a loop around the anterior portion of the ACL (Fig. 9). A second #2 FiberWire is passed through the base of the ACL more anterior than the first. The limbs are passed in the same manner as before, and the sutures are tied independently over the 1-cm bone bridge on the anteromedial tibial epiphysis (Fig. 10).

Postoperative Regimen

Place the patient in a long-leg, hinged-knee brace that is locked in extension. Encourage the patient to do quadriceps muscle contractions and straight leg raises. The day after the surgery, initiate range-of-motion exercises (ROM) and hamstring stretching in the prone position. Toe-touch weight-bearing with crutches is allowed. Progression to full weight-bearing can begin 6 weeks after surgery. Active ROM exercises, including terminal extension, and patella mobilization can also begin 6 weeks after surgery. Exercises are introduced in levels of increasing difficulty. Patients can resume participating in sports within 4 to 6 months of surgery.



Fig. 9. The medial drill-tip guide pin is then removed and, using the same technique, the lateral FiberWire suture limb is passed out through the medial hole creating a loop around the ACL and the fracture fragments.

DISCUSSION

Despite generally good results, complications of both operative and nonoperative treatments may occur in children with tibial spine fractures, including residual laxity, loss of motion, nonunion, and growth deformity.

Residual laxity is one of the most common complications and has been reported with both operative^{24,25} and nonoperative^{19,39,40} treatment of all fracture patterns. Anterior laxity may be due, in part, to interstitial elongation of the ACL at the time of injury¹ and/or soft tissue interposition that impedes fracture reduction.¹⁸ Despite the presence of objective residual laxity, many researchers found that most patients have no complaints of instability.23,24,38,40 Residual laxity may be asymptomatic because preservation of proprioceptive feedback allows normal neuromuscular control of the knee.²² However, other studies report that increased laxity associated with interstitial elongation results in worse outcomes.^{26,45} McLennan⁴⁵ reviewed a series of type III fractures treated with closed reduction and immobilization (CRI), arthroscopic reduction and immobilization (ARI), and ARIF. He reported that International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC), Lysholm, and Tegner scores were highest in those undergoing ARIF and lowest in the CRI group.⁴⁵ Anterior laxity was lowest in ARIF and highest in CRI. Second-look arthroscopy revealed fracture displacement in CRI and ARI groups, and 6 of 7 patients treated with immobilization had retropatellar chondromalacia.⁴⁵ Although most authors recommend an anatomic reduction,^{17,18,24,48} others recommend countersinking the fragment to account for interstitial injury and minimize residual laxity.25,72

Loss of motion, a common problem after treatment of tibial spine fractures, may be caused by arthrofibrosis or malunion of the fracture. Arthrofibrosis has been attributed



Fig. 10. A second suture is then passed through the ACL fibers more anterior than the first and the limbs are passed through the same tibial drill holes as described.

to prolonged postoperative immobilization.^{23,35,40,81} The use of rigid internal fixation may allow more aggressive rehabilitation in an attempt to minimize stiffness.⁸¹ Fracture malunion may occur as a result of incomplete reduction during nonoperative treatment⁴⁵ or malreduction during operative treatment of displaced fractures.⁶⁸ Femoral notchplasty has been used to regain extension in cases of malunion.^{82,83}

Nonunion, although uncommon, has been associated with nonoperative treatment of displaced fractures.^{55,56} Zhao and Huangfu⁵⁵ presented a series of nonunions of type II and III fractures that were treated with removal of the fibrous tissue and ARIF using sutures. This treatment restored normal laxity in 10 of 11 patients. The IKDC scores in these patients improved from abnormal or severely abnormal to normal or nearly normal.⁵⁵

latrogenic growth disturbance, an uncommon complication after fixation of tibial spine fractures, may cause significant impairment. Mylle and colleagues⁷⁹ presented a case report of an 11-year-old girl treated with a transphyseal screw for a displaced tibial eminence fracture. Hardware was left in place for 2 years postoperatively. The patient had premature closure of the anterior half of the growth plate, resulting in 25° hyperextension, 30° loss of flexion, and instability during sports.⁷⁸ Ahn and Yoo⁵⁶ reported a series of displaced fractures treated with absorbable suture fixation through the tibial physis, with 2 cases of growth deformity. Given the potential severity of iatrogenic growth disturbance, physeal sparing techniques are recommended to fix tibial eminence fractures in skeletally immature patients.^{56,63,79}

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Tracey Fine of Fine Biomedical Publications, Inc for editing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Noyes F, DeLucas J, Torvik P. Biomechanics of anterior cruciate ligament failure: an analysis of strain-rate sensitivity and mechanisms of failure in primates. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1974;56(2):236–53.
- 2. Poncet A. Arrachement de l'epine du tibia a l'insertion du ligament croise anterieur. Bull Mem Soc Chir Paris 1875;1:883–4.
- 3. Zionts L. Fractures and Dislocations about the Knee. In: Green NE, Swiontkowski MR, editors. Skeletal trauma in children. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2009. p. 452–5.
- 4. Luhmann S. Acute traumatic knee effusions in children and adolscents. J Pediatr Orthop 2003;23:199–202.
- 5. Eiskjaer S. The significance of hemarthrosis of the knee in children. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1988;107(2):96-8.
- 6. Kendall N, Hsy S, Chan K. Fracture of the tibial spine in adults and children. A review of 31 cases. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1992;74(6):848–52.
- 7. Skak S, Jensen TT, Poulsen TD, et al. Epidemiology of knee injuries in children. Acta Orthop Scand 1987;58:78–81.
- Anderson A. Transepiphyseal replacement of the anterior cruciate ligament in skeletally immature patients: a preliminary report. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2003;85-A(7): 1255–63.
- 9. Stanitski C, Harvell J, Fu F. Observations on acute knee hemarthrosis in children and adolescents. J Pediatr Orthop 1993;13(4):506–10.
- 10. Yang J. Use of discretionary protective equipment and rate of lower extremity injury in high school athletes. Am J Epidemiol 2005;161(6):511–9.
- 11. Agur A. Grant's atlas of anatomy. 9th edition. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1991.
- 12. Clemente C. Anatomy: a regional atlas of the human body. 4th edition. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1997. p. 387–8.
- 13. Girgis F. The cruciate ligaments of the knee joint. Anatomical, functional and experimental analysis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1975;(106):216–31.
- 14. Petersen W. Anatomy of the anterior cruciate ligament with regard to its two bundles. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007;454:35–47.
- 15. Harner C. Quantitative analysis of human cruciate ligament insertions. Arthroscopy 1999;15(7):741–9.
- 16. Messner K. The menisci of the knee joint. Anatomical and functional characteristics, and a rationale for clinical treatment. J Anat 1998;193(Pt 2):161–78.
- 17. Casalonga A, Bourelle S, Chalencon F, et al. Tibial intercondylar eminence fractures in children: the long-term perspective. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2010;96:525–30.
- 18. Kocher M, Micheli LJ, Gerbino P, et al. Tibial eminence fractures in children: prevalence of meniscal entrapment. Am J Sports Med 2003;31(3):404–7.
- 19. Wilfinger C, Castellani C, Raith J, et al. Nonoperative treatment of tibial spine fractures in children-38 patients with a minimum follow-up of 1 year. J Orthop Trauma 2009;23(7):519–24.
- 20. Lubowitz J. Part II: arthroscopic treatment of tibial plateau fractures: intercondylar eminence avulsion fractures. Arthroscopy 2005;21(1):86–92.
- 21. Accousti W. Tibial eminence fractures. Orthop Clin North Am 2003;34(3):365–75.
- 22. Ahmad C, Stein BE, Jeshuran W, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament function after tibial eminence fracture in skeletally mature patients. Am J Sports Med 2001;29(3):339–45.
- 23. Wiley J, Baxter M. Tibial spine fractures in children. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1990;(255): 54–60.
- 24. Perugia D. Clinical and radiological results of arthroscopically treated tibial spine fractures in childhood. Int Orthop 2009;33(1):243–8.

- 25. Kocher M, Foreman E, Micheli L. Laxity and functional outcome after arthroscopic reduction and internal fixation of displaced tibial spine fractures in children. Arthroscopy 2003;19(10):1085–90.
- 26. Tudisco C. Intercondylar eminence avulsion fracture in children: long-term follow-up of 14 cases at the end of skeletal growth. J Pediatr Orthop B 2010;19(5):403–8.
- 27. Griffith J, Antonio GE, Tong CW, et al. Cruciate ligament avulsion fractures. Arthroscopy 2004;20(8):803–12.
- 28. Meyers M, McKeever F. Fracture of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1959;41-A(2):209–20[discussion: 220–2].
- 29. Zaricznyi B. Avulsion fracture of the tibial eminence: treatment by open reduction and pinning. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1977;59(8):1111–4.
- 30. McLennan J. The role of arthroscopic surgery in the treatment of fractures of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1982;64(4):477–80.
- 31. Binnet M, Gürkan I, Yilmaz C, et al. Arthroscopic fixation of intercondylar eminence fractures using a 4-portal technique. Arthroscopy 2001;17(5):450–60.
- Montgomery K, Cavanaugh J, Cohen S, et al. Motion complications after arthroscopic repair of anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fractures in the adult. Arthroscopy 2002;18(2):171–6.
- 33. Toye L, Cummings P, and Armendariz G. Adult tibial intercondylar eminence fracture: evaluation with MR imaging. Skeletal Radiol 2002;31(1):46–8.
- Prince J, Laor T, Bean J. MRI of anterior cruciate ligament injuries and associated findings in the pediatric knee: changes with skeletal maturation. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005;185(3):756–62.
- 35. Hunter R, Willis J. Arthroscopic fixation of avulsion fractures of the tibial eminence: technique and outcome. Arthroscopy 2004;20(2):113–21.
- 36. Medler R, Jansson K. Arthroscopic treatment of fractures of the tibial spine. Arthroscopy 1994;10(3):292–5.
- Seon J, Park SJ, Lee KB, et al. A clinical comparison of screw and suture fixation of anterior cruciate ligament tibial avulsion fractures. Am J Sports Med 2009;37(12): 2334–9.
- Willis R, Blokker C, Stoll TM, et al. Long-term follow-up of anterior tibial eminence fractures. J Pediatr Orthop 1993;13(3):361–4.
- 39. Janarv P, Westblad P, Johansson C, et al. Long-term follow-up of anterior tibial spine fractures in children. J Pediatr Orthop 1995;15(1):63–8.
- 40. Baxter M, Wiley J. Fractures of the tibial spine in children. An evaluation of knee stability. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1988;70(2):228–30.
- 41. Molander M, Wallin G, Wikstad I. Fracture of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia: a review of 35 patients. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1981;63-B(1):89–91.
- 42. Fyfe I, Jackson J. Tibial intercondylar fractures in children: a review of the classification and the treatment of mal-union. Injury 1981;13(2):165–9.
- 43. Beaty J, Kumar A. Fractures about the knee in children. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1994;76(12):1870-80.
- Lileros K, Werner S, Janarv P. Arthroscopic fixation of anterior tibial spine fractures with bioabsorable nails in skeletally immature patients. Am J Sports Med 2009;37(5): 923–8.
- 45. McLennan J. Lessons learned after second-look arthroscopy in type III fractures of the tibial spine. J Pediatr Orthop 1995;15(1):59–62.
- 46. Meyers M, McKeever F. Fracture of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1970;52(8):1677–84.
- 47. Ogden John A. Skeletal injury in the child. 3rd edition. New York: Springer-Verlag: 2000. p. 1001.

- Senekovic V, Veselko M. Anterograde arthroscopic fixation of avulsion fractures of the tibial eminence with a cannulated screw: five-year results. Arthroscopy 2003;19(1): 54–61.
- 49. Lowe J, Chaimsky G, Freedman A, et al. The anatomy of tibial eminence fractures: arthroscopic observations following failed closed reduction. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2002;84-A(11):1933–8.
- Kim Y, Kim SJ, Yang JY, et al. Pullout reattachment of tibial avulsion fractures of the anterior cruciate ligament: a firm, effective suture-tying method using a tensioner. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2007;15(7):847–50.
- 51. Kogan M, Marks P, Amendola A. Technique for arthroscopic suture fixation of displaced tibial intercondylar eminence fractures. Arthroscopy 1997;13(3):301–6.
- 52. Lehman R, Murphy KP, Machen MS, et al. Modified arthroscopic suture fixation of a displaced tibial eminence fracture. Arthroscopy 2003;19(2):E6.
- 53. Jung Y, Yum J, Koo B. A new method for arthroscopic treatment of tibial eminence fractures with eyed Steinmann pins. Arthroscopy 1999;15(6):672–5.
- Delcogliano A, Chiossi S, Caporaso A, et al. Tibial intercondylar eminence fractures in adults: arthroscopic treatment. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2003;11(4): 255–9.
- Zhao J, Huangfu X. Arthroscopic treatment of nonunited anterior cruciate ligament tibial avulsion fracture with figure-of-8 suture fixation technique. Arthroscopy 2007; 23(4):405–10.
- 56. Ahn J, Yoo J. Clinical outcome of arthroscopic reduction and suture for displaced acute and chronic tibial spine fractures. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2005;13(2):116–21.
- Yang S, Lu YC, Teng HP, et al. Arthroscopic reduction and suture fixation of displaced tibial intercondylar eminence fractures in adults. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2005; 125(4):272–6.
- 58. Mah J, Otsuka N, McLean J. An arthroscopic technique for the reduction and fixation of tibial-eminence fractures. J Pediatr Orthop 1996;16(1):119–21.
- 59. Hsu S. An easy and effective method for reattaching an anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fracture from the tibial eminence. Arthroscopy 2004;20(1):96–100.
- 60. Berg E. Comminuted tibial eminence anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fractures: failure of arthroscopic treatment. Arthroscopy 1993;9(4):446–50.
- 61. Park H, Urabe K, Naruse K, et al. Arthroscopic evaluation after surgical repair of intercondylar eminence fractures. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2007;127(9):753–7.
- 62. Matthews D, Geissler W. Arthroscopic suture fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures. Arthroscopy 1994;10(4):418–23.
- 63. Ahn J, Lee YS, Lee DH, et al. Arthroscopic physeal sparing all inside repair of the tibial avulsion fracture in the anterior cruciate ligament: technical note. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2008;128(11):1309–12.
- 64. Hirschmann M, Mayer RR, Kentsch A, et al. Physeal sparing arthroscopic fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures: a new surgical technique. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2009;17(7):741–7.
- 65. Huang T, Hsu KY, Cheng CY, et al. Arthroscopic suture fixation of tibial eminence avulsion fractures. Arthroscopy 2008;24(11):1232–8.
- 66. Lubowitz J, Grauer J. Arthroscopic treatment of anterior cruciate ligament avulsion. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1993;(294):242–6.
- 67. Doral M, Atay OA, Leblebiciogïlu G, et al. Arthroscopic fixation of the fractures of the intercondylar eminence via transquadricipital tendinous portal. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2001;9(6):346–9.

- 68. Reynders P, Reynders K, Broos P. Pediatric and adolescent tibial eminence fractures: arthroscopic cannulated screw fixation. L Trauma 2002;53(1):49–54.
- 69. Liljeros K. Arthroscopic fixation of anterior tibial spine fractures with bioabsorbable nails in skeletally immature patients. Am J Sports Med 2009;37(5):923–8.
- 70. Vega J, Irribarra LA, Baar AK, et al. Arthroscopic fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures: a new growth plate-sparing method. Arthroscopy 2008;24(11):1239–43.
- Lu XW, Hu XP, Jin C, et al. Reduction and fixation of the avulsion fracture of the tibial eminence using mini-open technique. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2010. [Epub ahead of print].
- 72. In Y, Kim JM, Woo YK, et al. Arthroscopic fixation of anterior cruciate ligament tibial avulsion fractures using bioabsorbable suture anchors. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2008;16(3):286–9.
- 73. Louis M, Guillaume JM, Launay F, et al. Surgical management of type II tibial intercondylar eminence fractures in children. J Pediatr Orthop B 2008;17(5):231–5.
- Mahar A, Duncan D, Oka R, et al. Biomechanical comparison of four different fixation techniques for pediatric tibial eminence avulsion fractures. J Pediatr Orthop 2008; 28(2):159–62.
- 75. Eggers A, Becker C, Weimann A, et al. Biomechanical evaluation of different fixation methods for tibial eminence fractures. Am J Sports Med 2007;35(3):404–10.
- Tsukada H, Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E, et al. A biomechanical comparison of repair techniques for anterior cruciate ligament tibial avulsion fracture under cyclic loading. Arthroscopy 2005;21(10):1197–201.
- 77. Bong M, Romero A, Kubiak E, et al. Suture versus screw fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures: a biomechanical comparison. Arthroscopy 2005;21(10):1172–6.
- Tsai T. Malpractice of epiphyseal cannulated screw fixation in a child with avulsion fracture of the tibial eminence complicating with lack of knee extension and distal femoral fracture. J Med Sci 2009;29(5):289–292.
- Mylle J, Reynders P, Broos P. Transepiphysial fixation of anterior cruciate avulsion in a child. Report of a complication and review of the literature. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1993;112(2):101–3.
- Anderson AF, Anderson CN. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries with bony avulsion. In: Lieberman JR, Berry DJ, Azar FM, editors. AAOS Advanced Reconstruction: Knee. Rosemont: AAOS; 2011. p. 603–12.
- Vander Have K, Ganley TJ, Kocher MS, et al. Arthrofibrosis after surgical fixation of tibial eminence fractures in children and adolescents. Am J Sports Med 2010;38(2): 298–301.
- 82. Panni A, Milano G, Tartarone M, et al. Arthroscopic treatment of malunited and nonunited avulsion fractures of the anterior tibial spine. Arthroscopy 1998;14(3):233–40.
- Luger EJ, Arbel R, Eichenblat MS, et al. Femoral notchplasty in the treatment of malunited intercondylar eminence fractures of the tibia. Arthroscopy 1994;10(5): 550-1.